Longview Independent School District J.L. Everhart Elementary 2023-2024 Improvement Plan



Board Approval Date: October 16, 2023

Mission Statement

At J L Everhart, it is our community endeavor to foster a global desire for learning, creativity, and technological advancement through a rigorous, diverse curriculum resulting in self-sufficient, independent, life-long learners and productive and responsible leaders and citizens.

Vision

We are a progressive school aiming to prepare our students for a rapidly changing world by equipping them with critical thinking skills, global perspective, and respect for other cultures and their contributions to society.

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Demographics	4
Student Learning	4
School Processes & Programs	8
Priority Problem Statements	9
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	10
Goals	12
Goal 1: Improve Recruitment and Retention of Quality Personnel	13
Goal 2: Improve Communication and Delivery of Information to Parents and General Public	14
Goal 3: All Schools Will Meet State Accountability Standards and Perform at or above State Level in Math, Reading/Writing, and Science.	18
Goal 4: Implement the International Baccalaureate Primary Years Programme (PYP), Middle Years Programme (MYP), Diploma Programme (DP), and/or Career-related	25
Programme with fidelity.	
Goal 5: LISD will provide a safe, disciplined, and healthy environment on all campuses and facilities to promote and support student learning.	29
Targeted Support Strategies	30
Campus Improvement Team	31

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Revised/Approved: October 16, 2023

Demographics

Demographics Summary

J.L. Everhart Elementary is a Title 1 school that services grade levels 1st through 5th. The purpose of this improvement plan is to focus on the 2023-2024 school year by using the demographic information from May 2023. J.L. Everhart an AT-RISK School with 92% ECD, 35% LEP, and less than 1% of the student body classified as homeless. There is still a significant achievement gap among our students in all ranges when focused on literacy. Enrollment as of August 2023 shows the data as follows: there are a total of 431 students enrolled with a decrease in the entire school population by 12% from the previous year. Demographic data is as follows:

- Hispanic 194 (45%)
- African American 164 (38%)
- Caucasian 63 (15%)
- Other 10 (less than 1%)

J.L. Everhart an AT-RISK School with 92% ECD, 35% LEP, and less than 1% of the student body classified as homeless. There is still a significant achievement gap amongst our African-American sub-pop, specifically in literacy. Average class sizes are a 20-to-1 ratio, with our highest student-to-teacher ratio resting in our 3rd-grade classes.

Teacher skills are aligned with our student needs, with teacher placements being specifically agreed upon by grade level. Each grade level is populated with teachers whose experience and skills match the specific needs of those students.

Demographics Strengths

The Bilingual sub-pop continues to grow and be strengthened. Data suggests a trend of upward progression academically for this subgroup. Likewise, the parental involvement from this sub-group is trending upward as well. Parents are also opting to choose our campus via Longview ISD's "Choose" program at a higher rate for the 2023 school year.

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1: The disparity among the African American sub-pop with academic readiness and success continues to trend on campus. **Root Cause:** Low reading levels affect performance in all other content areas. Paired with minimal parental support, students achieve disproportionately lower than their peers.

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

JLE									
Grade	Subject Area	Demographic	Total Students	Approaches	Approaches %	Meets	Meets %	Masters	Master %
3rd	Math	All Students	80	57	71%	23	29%	10	13%
		Hispanic	41	40	40	13	34	0	0
		Asian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		African American	27	19	70	4	15	1	4
		White	9	6	67	4	44	1	11
		Two or More	3	2	67	1	33	1	33
		ECD	73	52	71	19	26	8	11
		ELL	29	22	76	12	41	7	24
		Special Education	6	3	50	1	17	0	0
		Dyslexia	5	5	100	0	0	0	0
Grade	Subject Area	Demographic	Total Students	Approaches	Approaches %	Meets	Meets %	Masters	Master %
lth	Math	All Students	85	78	92%	62	73%	42	49%
		Hispanic	48	45	94	37	77	24	50
		Asian	2	2	100	2	100	2	100
		African American	25	21	84	15	60	9	36
		White	6	6	100	5	83	4	67
		Two or More	4	4	100	3	75	3	75
		ECD	80	73	91	57	71	38	48
		ELL	36	34	94	30	83	20	56
		Special Education	6	4	67	4	67	1	17
		Dyslexia	3	3	100	2	67	2	67
Grade	Subject Area	Demographic	Total Students	Approaches	Approaches %	Meets	Meets %	Masters	Master %
5th	Math	All Students	98	82	85%	54	56%	30	31%
		Hispanic	58	50	86	35	60	19	33
		Asian	1	1	100	1	100	0	0
		African American	25	18	72	8	32	4	16
		White	10	10	100	7	70	5	50

JLE									
		Two or More	4	3	75	3	75	2	50
		ECD	90	76	84	50	56	27	30
		ELL	39	36	92	26	67	17	44
		Special Education	6	4	67	2	33	0	0
		Dyslexia	2	2	100	1	50	0	0
Grade	Subject Area	Demographic	Total Students	Approaches	Approaches %	Meets	Meets %	Masters	Master %
3rd	Reading	All Students	80	59	74	24	36	12	22
		Hispanic	41	29	70	14	33	9	41
		Asian							
		African American	26	21	81	6	23	0	0
		White	9	6	67	3	33	2	22
		Two or More	3	3	100	1	33	1	33
		ECD	73	53	73	22	35	10	20
		ELL	29	20	60	13	40	9	21
		Special Education	6	4	40	2	40	0	0
		Dyslexia	4	2	50	0	0	0	0
Grade	Subject Area	Demographic	Total Students	Approaches	Approaches %	Meets	Meets %	Masters	Master %
4th	Reading	All Students	96	67	73	37	42	22	24
		Hispanic	57	41	72	24	42	12	21
		Asian	1	1	100	0	0	0	0
		African American	25	14	56	6	24	6	24
		White	9	7	78	4	44	3	33
		Two or More	4	4	100	3	75	1	25
		ECD	87	59	71	32	41	19	23
		ELL	37	28	69	16	32	8	27
		Special Education	6	3	70	0	0	0	0
		Dyslexia	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grade	Subject Area	Demographic	Total Students	Approaches	Approaches %	Meets	Meets %	Masters	Master %
5th	Reading	All Students	98	75	83	53	65	27	31
		Hispanic	56	48	82	39	65	16	27
		Asian	1	1	100	0	0	0	0

JLE									
		African American	25	14	56	6	24	6	24
		White	9	7	78	4	44	3	33
		Two or More	4	4	100	3	75	1	25
		ECD	90	68	82	48	64	33	29
		ELL	39	36	79	32	56	13	41
		Special Education	6	3	50	1	17	0	0
		Dyslexia	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grade	Subject Area	Demographic	Total Students	Approaches	Approaches %	Meets	Meets %	Masters	Master %
5th	Science	All Students	98	70	75	30	34	14	16
		Hispanic	58	45	77	20	34	8	14
		Asian	1	1	100	0	0	0	0
		African American	25	10	40	4	16	2	8
		White	9	9	100	4	44	2	22
		Two or More	4	4	100	2	50	2	50
		ECD	59	45	74	25	31	12	15
		ELL	39	31	71	15	29	6	19
		Special Education	4	1	25	0	0	0	0
		Dyslexia	2	2	100	0	0	0	0
Grade	Subject Area	Demographic	Total Students	Approaches	Approaches %	Meets	Meets %	Masters	Master %
4th	Writing	All Students	85	70	84	39	45	13	16
		Hispanic	48	41	85	21	45	8	17
		Asian	2	2	100	2	100	1	50
		African American	24	19	79	12	50	4	17
		White	7	5	71	3	43	0	0
		Two or More	4	3	75	1	25	0	0
		ECD	79	67	83	36	44	13	17
		ELL	36	31	85	15	45	6	17
		Special Education	6	5	90	2	40	0	0
_		Dyslexia	3	3	100	1	33	0	0

Math continues to be our strength in student learning campus-wide. The ability of our students to grasp the concepts can be seen in the initial formative testing. Students' data reveals much higher levels of success in math as opposed to reading.

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1: Our campus gap is focused in literacy, ranging from grade 1 through 5, specifically in our African American sub-pop. **Root Cause:** Lack of a consistent, systemic phonics program has set up a pipeline of students with gaps in their learning. Students without strong phonics instruction struggle with decoding.

School Processes & Programs

School Processes & Programs Summary

Our campus has implemented several initiatives for the 2023-2024 school year. Firstly, our campus will follow district protocol and apply the Texas Instructional Leadership model on campus. This will allow us to use a positive, research-based instructional coaching system to hyper focus our teacher growth.

Data from the 2023 Spring STAAR administration continues to show growth for our campus in mathematics. Data in literacy shows us that our teachers need more specific PLC planning and development to give them the ability to hone their instruction for student learning. With that in mind, we adjusted our campus schedule to allow the teachers a full day for PLC planning and evaluating data.

School Processes & Programs Strengths

Teachers have already began to show increased overall depth, complexity and rigor of their instructional delivery. There is a marked increase in student engagement and achievement that can be attributed to the utilization of the TIL model and PLC planning with fidelity. Teachers are able to implement more meaningful lessons including project based learning and students are given the elaborative rehearsal needed for success.

Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1: Teachers are just beginning their TIL process, with training and planning working through the program roll-out, which causes small frustrations. **Root Cause:** Training is set-up via a roll out process, so reassuring teachers that there will be consistent training has been key.

Priority Problem Statements

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- Campus goals
- HB3 Reading and math goals for PreK-3
- Performance Objectives with summative review (prior year)
- Campus/District improvement plans (current and prior years)
- Covid-19 Factors and/or waivers for Assessment, Accountability, ESSA, Missed School Days, Educator Appraisals, etc.
- Planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data
- State and federal planning requirements

Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- Accountability Distinction Designations
- Federal Report Card and accountability data

Student Data: Assessments

- State and federally required assessment information
- STAAR current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR released test questions
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- Texas Primary Reading Inventory (TPRI), Tejas LEE, or other alternate early reading assessment results
- Think Through Math assessment data for Grades 3-8 and Algebra I (TEA approved statewide license)
- Local diagnostic reading assessment data
- · Local benchmark or common assessments data
- Observation Survey results
- Texas approved PreK 2nd grade assessment data
- Other PreK 2nd grade assessment data
- Grades that measure student performance based on the TEKS

Student Data: Student Groups

- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress between groups
- Special programs data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress for each student group
- Economically disadvantaged / Non-economically disadvantaged performance and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- Section 504 data

- Homeless data
- Gifted and talented data
- Dyslexia data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Attendance data
- Mobility rate, including longitudinal data
- Discipline records

Employee Data

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Campus leadership data
- Professional development needs assessment data
- T-TESS data

Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Parent engagement rate
- Community surveys and/or other feedback

Support Systems and Other Data

- · Organizational structure data
- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation
- Communications data
- Budgets/entitlements and expenditures data
- Study of best practices
- Action research results

Goals

Revised/Approved: June 2, 2023

Goal 1: Improve Recruitment and Retention of Quality Personnel

Performance Objective 1: Increase the student-teacher participation rate in LISD classrooms by 5% by the end of the 2023-2024 school year.

Evaluation Data Sources: Employment Records, Walkthrough data, Annecdtoal data

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 1: Provide rigorous, complex, and meaningful learning that promotes readiness for post PreK-12 experiences,		Summative			
including a curriculum that is focused on state standards and research-based, effective instructional practices in all classrooms reflective of the instructional model.	Nov	Jan	May	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: By May of 2024, at least 90% of J.L. Everhart students will increase their levels of academic performance in mathematics, reading /language arts, and science.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Academic Dean					
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 2: Consistent use of the School Mint program to help teachers calibrate their instruction to help their		Summative			
understanding of instructional methods.	Nov	Jan	May	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The increase of student-teacher participation in classrooms and strengthening of instructional methods.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Academic Dean, Campus Teacher Leaders					
ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	•	-	

Goal 1: Improve Recruitment and Retention of Quality Personnel

Performance Objective 2: Increase the teacher retention rate and employment of highly qualified staff by 10%.

Evaluation Data Sources: Employment Records

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 1: Extend the campus PLC schedule from one half of a day to a whole day weekly of available collaboration and		Summative		
teacher mentoring.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: To allow staff members appropriate time to plan, professionally develop, and model TEKS for peers.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Academic Dean, Teacher Leaders				
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 1: Increase Family Engagement on magnet campuses by 50%.

Evaluation Data Sources: Family Engagement Plans; Sign-in sheets, and Agendas from meetings

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	riews		
trategy 1: Conduct monthly parent meetings to inform parents of the mission and vision of the campus and grade-level		Formative			
curriculum expectations	Nov	Jan	May	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: By May of 2024, at least 90% of all students' parents and or family members will participate in a t least one school-sponsored academic activity for/with their children. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Academic Dean, CIS Member					
Title I: 4.2 - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture					
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue			

Performance Objective 2: Longview ISD campuses will increase partnerships with local educational institutions of higher learning, medical institutions, and with local businesses by 50%.

Evaluation Data Sources: MOUs; Business and community partnerships; Volunteer documentation

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 1: Conduct monthly networking outreach meetings in order to gain, increase, and maintain current community		Summative		
partners.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: To increase the number of community partners invested in the campus. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Academic Dean, CIS Member				
Title I: 4.2				
- ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 3: Increase social media/online presence in new outlets by 25%.

Evaluation Data Sources: Data Reports

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: The campus will use ThrillShare as a new media outlet to deliver information to parents, posting a minimum of		Summative		
1 time weekly.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: To give parents who do not use traditional social media methods viable resources for campus information.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Academic Dean, Campus Secretary				
ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Strategy 2 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 2: Increase media posts by +2 posts a week, highlighting academic and enrichment successes.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: To increase campus media traffic rates on website, Facebook, ThrillShare, and ClassDojo.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Academic Dean, Campus Secretary.				
ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	l	1

Performance Objective 4: 95% of the district parents, staff, teachers, and community will report feeling safe and secure in all facilities.

Evaluation Data Sources: Safety Reports; Surveys; Safety Meetings; Security Officer sign-in sheets

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Post all safety verbiage for staff, students, and parents to see and give access to available information to parents		Summative		
for the entirety of the school year.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: To give parents campus transparency in matters that can be communicated. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				
ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		•

Performance Objective 1: Our campus will earn the maximum number of distinctions designated by TEA by the 2024-2025 school year.

Evaluation Data Sources: Common Assessments; Teacher Observation; Progress Reports; Parent Conferences

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Create a print-rich environment in all subjects by posting thinking maps and anchor charts for interactive use in		Formative		Summative
all classrooms, by TEKS assigned every six weeks. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Principal, Academic Dean, Teacher Leaders Staff Responsible for Monitoring: By May of 2024, at least 90% of J.L. Everhart students will increase their levels of academic performance in mathematics, reading /language arts, and science. Title I: 2.4 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Identify students' reading, math and science levels and keep them informed of progress throughout the six		Formative		Summative
weeks each six weeks.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: By May of 2024, at least 90% of J.L. Everhart students will increase their levels of academic performance in mathematics, reading /language arts, and science. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Academic Dean, Teacher Lead				

Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 3: Provide and offer training in the HMH phonics and spelling instructional program that is aligned with the		Formative		Summative
science of teaching reading. When used with fidelity, this program will prevent and remediate reading difficulties for students in grades 1-3. It will also significantly increase the reading levels of all students.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improve the reading of students from grades 1-5 and to increase vocabulary, comprehension, and fluency.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Academic Dean, Teacher Leader				
Title I:				
2.4				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 4 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 4: During weekly PLC, teachers will use the TEKS Breakdown method to align their instruction to the depth and		Formative		Summative
complexity needed.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: This will allow teachers to understand their curriculum at an in-depth level,	1107	Jan	Iviay	June
giving them the ability to hyper-focus their instruction on the rigor of the TEKS.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Academic Dean				
Stan Responsible for Monitoring. Trincipal, Academic Dean				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	1	1

Performance Objective 2: All student groups will meet the state targets in Domain 3, closing performance gaps, according to state accountability.

Evaluation Data Sources: Common Assessments; Benchmark Data; Performance Monitoring Data

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Identify students' reading, math, and science levels and keep them informed of progress throughout the six		Formative		Summative
weeks each six weeks in order to increase awareness of their progress.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: By May of 2024, at least 90% of J.L. Everhart students will increase their levels of academic performance in mathematics, reading /language arts, and science.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Academic Dean, Classroom Teachers				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 3: Increase parental and community engagement by 5%.

Evaluation Data Sources: Surveys; Sign-in sheets

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Offer one more parent night, in addition to the current calendar of family engagement activities by May 2024.	Formative Su			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: To continue to grow our parent community.	Nov Jan May			June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Academic Dean, CIS				
ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 4: Expand instructional learning opportunities to meet and enhance the needs of each learner.

Evaluation Data Sources: Parent Meetings; Parent Surveys

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Offer both a Math Lab and ELPS Lab for students to have enrichment periods for expanded instruction using		Summative		
HQIM such as Zearn, IXL, Summit K-12, and mClass/Amplify.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: With this expansion, the expected result includes students meeting all accountability standards for campus and district levels.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Academic Dean, Teacher Leader				
Title I: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 5: Student participation in University Interscholastic League (UIL), academic competitions, and college entrance exams will increase by 5% as evidenced by participation numbers at the end of the school year.

Evaluation Data Sources: Student Participation Data

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Appoint a new campus UIL coordinator in order to strengthen the organization of UIL for teachers and students.	Formative Sum			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: This person will be able to focus on the implementation of UIL at Everhart with more fidelity, giving teachers a person of focus on campus for help.	vith Nov Jan May			June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				
TEA Priorities: Connect high school to career and college - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 6: Provide a safe, disciplined and healthy environment conducive to student learning and supporting the physical health and social-emotional needs for students, their families and employees.

Evaluation Data Sources: Campus counseling services lessons and documentation, PEIMS data sources, documentation of social emotional learning occurring in classrooms, staff wellness activities.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Conduct monthly parent meetings to inform parents of the mission and vision of the campus and grade-level		Summative		
curriculum expectations.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: To keep parents updated about campus culture and climate in both academic and SEL domains.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Academic Deans, CIS Member				
ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 1: Meet the requirements of the IB mission statement: The International Baccalaureate aims to develop inquiring, knowledgeable, and caring young people who help to create a better and more peaceful world through intercultural understanding and respect.

Evaluation Data Sources: Periodic Surveys and Observations

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Schedule and monitor campus policy implementation in the form of updates at the BOY, MOY, and EOY staff		Formative		Summative
sessions.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Staff understanding of the IB Mission statement and the needs that are required to be a successful IB authorized campus.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Academic Dean				ļ
ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 2: Provide a challenging and diverse academic program with a commitment to excellence.

Evaluation Data Sources: Observations, Assessment Data, Instructional Plans

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Provide meaningful transdisciplinary professional development for teachers to ensure they continue to meet the		Summative		
highly qualified status and deliver instruction at the appropriate level.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: By May of 2024, at least 90% of J.L. Everhart students will increase their levels of academic performance using campus Units of Inquiry.			·	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Academic Dean				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		'

Performance Objective 3: Incorporate the IB Learner Profile into all elements of students' educational experiences.

Evaluation Data Sources: Surveys, Academic Success, School Culture

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Implement a weekly "IB Student of the Week" that focuses on the implementation of the Learner Profile traits	Formative Sumi			Summative
on campus. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student knowledge about the Learner Profile. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Academic Dean ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction	Nov	Jan	May	June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	•
Strategy 2: The campus creation of an IB Learner Profile enrichment class that focuses on the instruction of the Learner	Formative			Summative
Profile Traits. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student knowledge about the Learner Profile. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Academic Dean ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction		Jan	May	June
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	tinue		

Performance Objective 4: Register faculty and staff for official IB workshops to meet IB requirements and maintain updated curriculum changes.

Evaluation Data Sources: Number of staff members trained.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Monitor, maintain, and provide appropriate IB training for all staff members.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: To maintain campus requirements at the campus, district, and IB Global levels.	Nov	Jan	May	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Academic Dean				
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	•	

Goal 5: LISD will provide a safe, disciplined, and healthy environment on all campuses and facilities to promote and support student learning.

Performance Objective 1: Expand comprehensive programs and partnership opportunities between parents, community, and schools that foster classroom growth and innovation by 50% by May 2024.

Evaluation Data Sources: Classroom Teachers, Counselor(s), Principal, Academic Dean, PTA, CIS

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Coordinate and support the education program by collaborating with businesses, parents, and community		Summative		
members for innovative ideas concerning campus environments. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: By May of 2024, 90% of all students' parents will participate in at least one school grangested condemic activity with their shild.	Nov	Jan	May	June
school-sponsored academic activity with their child. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Academic Dean, Counselor, CIS				
Title I: 4.2 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	itinue		

Targeted Support Strategies

Goal	Objective	Strategy	Description	
3	1	1	Create a print-rich environment in all subjects by posting thinking maps and anchor charts for interactive use in all classrooms, by TEKS assigned every six weeks.	

Campus Improvement Team

Committee Role	Name	Position
Administrator	Crystal Locke	Head of School
Administrator	Samantha Chastain	Academic Dean
Classroom Teacher	Lisa Christopher	Lead Teacher
Community Representative	Carlton Brown	Manager-Sales-Enterprise
Classroom Teacher	Tashawna Carraway	Teacher LeaderMath