LEA Name:	Longview ISD	
Campus Name:	Ware Elementary	
CAMPUS - Data Analysis Summary		
Instructions:	Before completing the Data Analysis Summary, please review the definition/purpose and the summary of findings statements listed below. This section provides the statutory definition of data analysis and describes how it contributes to the continuous improvement process. The data analysis process helps inform the campus in the completion of the targeted improvement plan as required by the campus staging identification.  The data analysis is divided into five sections. With the exception of Section III (priority campuses only), all sections are required to be completed by all campuses for the data analysis process. TEA/TCDSS support specialists are available to assist with any questions that may arise throughout this process.	
Definition/Purpose:	Data analysis and review of student level data conducted by the campus intervention team [Texas Education Code (TEC) §39.106 (a) and 19 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) §97.1063] is designed to identify factors contributing to low performance and ineffectiveness of program areas. Data analysis informs the needs assessment and leads to a targeted improvement plan.	
Summary of Findings:	A data summary captures patterns and trends in the data. A summary of findings is a way to synthesize the outcome of the data analysis to create the problem statements which form the basis for the needs assessment process.	

## **Section I - General Questions**

Is your campus identified in the state accountability system?	Yes
Is your campus identified as a Priority campus?	No
Is your campus identified as a TTIPS recipient?	No

## **Section II - Index Questions**

	-		
	Did your campus meet standard for Index 1?	Yes, with an Index score of 61 or higher	
		☐ African American	
		□ Hispanic	
		□ White	
	If your campus Index 1 score was above 60, you do not need to answer this question.  If your campus did not meet standard or met standard with an Index score of 55-60, what student groups are in greatest need	□ American Indian	
		□ Asian	
Index 1-Student Achievement		□ Pacific Islander	
	of improvement?	☐ Two or More Races	
	(Reminder: System safeguards data can help with this analysis)	☐ Economically Disadvantaged	
		☐ Special Education	
		☐ English Language Learners	
	Ware Elementary scored 68 on Index 1: the target score was 55. African American students scored the lowest of the demographic groups: Reading - 59%; Math - 57%; Writing - 57%; and Science - 63%. They did not meet federal standards in Systems Safeguards in reading or math.		
	Did your campus meet standard for Index 2?		
	*see help box for score details	Yes, with score greater than 2 points above target	
		☐ African American	
		□ Hispanic	
	If your campus Index 2 score was more than 2 points above the	□ White	
	Index target, then you do not need to answer this question.  If your campus Index 2 score was at target or 2 points less or above the index target, which student groups are in greatest need of improvement?	☐ American Indian	
		□ Asian	
		□ Pacific Islander	
	(Reminder: Consider the exceeded progress component as well as made progress when answering)	☐ Two or More Races	
Index 2-Student Progress		☐ Economically Disadvantaged	
index 2-Student Progress		☐ Special Education	
		☐ English Language Learners	
		☐ Students who failed in 2013 and failed in 2014	
	If your campus Index 2 score was more than 2 points above the Index target, then you do not need to answer this question.	☐ Students who passed in 2013 and passed in 2014	
	If your campus did not meet standard or met standard at 2 points or less above target, which group of students contributed	Students who were at Level III performance in 2013 and scored a Level II performance in 2014	
	to missing or narrowly meeting the Index 2 standard?	Other	

LEA Name: Longview ISD	LEA Name: Longview ISD		
Campus Name: Ware Elementary	Campus Name: Ware Elementary		
	CAMPUS - Data Analysis Summary	<i>(</i>	
Ware Elementary scored 55 on Index 2. The target score was 33. In reading, 68% of all students met or exceeded progress and 31% exceeded progress. 69% of African American students met or exceeded progress, and 34% exceeded progress. 67% of Hispanic students met or exceeded progress, and 28% exceeded progress. In Mathematics, 77% of all students met or exceeded progress and 47% exceeded progress. 80% of African American students met or exceeded progress, and 56% exceeded progress. 77% of Hispanic students met or exceeded progress, and 43% exceeded progress. Greater progress was made in math than reading.			
	Did your campus meet standard for Index 3?		
	*see help box for score details	Yes, with score greater than 2 points above target	
		☐ African American	
	If your campus Index 3 score was more than 2 points above the Index target, then you do not need to answer this question.	□ Hispanic	
		☐ White	
	index target, then yet do not need to unower this queetern.	☐ American Indian	
Index 3-Closing Achievement Gaps	What student groups, other than economically disadvantaged,	☐ Asian	
	were measured for your campus in Index 3?	☐ Pacific Islander	
		☐ Two or More Races	
	Ware Elementary spored 25 on Index 2. The target spore was 25		
	Ware Elementary scored 35 on Index 3. The target score was 28. Two groups were analyzed: Economically developed and African American. In reading, 7% of ECD students scored at the Advanced Standard and 3% of African American students; in math, 15% of ECD students scored at the Advanced Standard and 7% of African American students; In writing, 4% of ECD students scored at the Advanced Standard and 3% of African American students; and in science, 7% of ECD students scored at the Advanced Standard and 6% of African American students. More Math students met the Advanced Standard than any other content area. Of special concern are writing and science.		
	Did your campus meet standard for Index 4?	Yes	
		STAAR component-student performance at	
	If your campus met Index 4 target, then you do not need to answer this question.  Which component(s) of Index 4 contributed to your campus missing Index 4?	or above Level II, Final	
		☐ Graduation Rate	
Index 4-Postsecondary Readiness		☐ Graduation Plan	
		□ Postsecondary Indicator	
	Ware Elementary scored 21 on Index 4. The target score was 12	2. 21% of all students met the Postsecondary Readiness	
	Standard; 15% of African American students met the Postsecond the Postsecondary Readiness Standard.	lary Readiness Standard; and 27% of Hispanic students met	
	Section III - Priority		
(If	your campus is not identified as a priority school, move	to section IV)	
		□ African American	
		□ Hispanic	
		□ White	
		☐ Economically Disadvantaged	
Which student groups contributed to the campu	s identification as a Priority school?	☐ Special Education	
		☐ English Language Learners	
		□ All Students	
	□ N/A		
Section IV - Critical Success Factors (CSFs):			
The questions above highlight the overall performance of the campus in relation to the State's indexes. The performance of the campus as measured by the indexes is the result of numerous variables. In order to help identify the pivotal factors that contribute to the overall success of the campus/district as measured by the State's accountability system, please identify the data sources used when reviewing the campus' processes for each CSF.  (For possible data sources, see the CSF Data Sources document)			
	ults; TAPR; TELPAS; common assessments; formative assessmen ta sheets; tutoring reports; TPRI; Principal reports for the district; a		
	; lesson plans		

LEA Name:	Longview ISD			
Campus Name:	Campus Name: Ware Elementary			
	CAMPUS - Data Analysis Summary			
Use of Quality Data to Dr Instruction	STAAR results; TEKScore; common assessment results; DMAC; data walls; objectives tied to TEKS on board; RTI tracking in DMAC; Phase-in Templates; Classroom walk through data; PLC agendas and minutes; Teacher surveys; tutorial reports; teacher or administrat input in ARDS and LPACs; PEIMS reports; universal screeners; on-line programs - I-Station, Think Through Math, Classworks in reading math, science; Power Writing data; Facts Mastery Programs; Spiral Reviews			
Leadership Effectiveness	? Lead4Ward's STAAR4Ward Leading the Learning series/webinars; STAAR4Ward 3Di training; teacher conferences; teacher attendand teacher retention; PD plans; teacher surveys; Principal evaluation results; teacher evaluation reports; PLC agendas and meetings; facul agendas and meetings; meetings with reading and math specialists			
Increased Learning Time	3 Student engagement observations; master schedule; reading and math blocks; early release days for PD; instructional calendar;			
Family and Community Engagement	Family and community perception surveys; parent conferences; parent phone logs; campus web site; number of home vsits conducted; internet access for parents; communication provided in English and Spanish; number of community partners; School Messenger for families to retrieve information; online parent-home access for student information; PTA; Communities in Schools on campus			
School Climate	7 Teacher retention rate; staff perception data; parent/community perception data; discipline data; attendance data; PEIMS data; teacher attendance; UIL involvement; campus cleanliness; community involvement and support; PEIMS 425 report; TxBess mentoring of new teachers			
Teacher Quality	TAAR results; student feedback; classroom observations; teacher recruitment process; increased student performance - 3 Distinctions 2013-2014; walk through data; teacher feedback; teacher evaluation results; PD hours; PD implementation; classroom engagement; fail rates; time on task; classroom management; discipline referrals; parent surveys; PDAS			

## **Section V - Identification of Problem Statements:**

Before transitioning to the needs assessment phase of the continuous improvement process, problem statements need to be developed based on trends and patterns identified through the data analysis process. The purpose of the problem statement is to objectively define the gap(s) identified through the data analysis process in a clear and concise manner. Problem statements clarify the issues that need to be addressed in the targeted improvement plan.			
	Although the data analysis process may reveal multiple trends/patterns that appear to call for further action, the campus should target problems most critical to improvement. This may result in less than ten problem statements when prioritizing focus areas for the targeted plan.		
In the needs assessment the problem statements.	In the needs assessment phase of the continuous improvement process, the campus will conduct a root cause analysis to determine the cause of the problems articulated in the problem statements.		
If your campus has been identified as Formerly IR, be sure to scroll down and answer the last question of this section in addition to identifying problem statements.			
Problem Statement 1:	Which Index(es) does this problem statement address?	□ Not Applicable	
		☐ Index 1: Student Achievement	
		☐ Index 2: Student Progress	
		☐ Index 3: Closing Achievement Gaps	
		☐ Index 4: Postsecondary Readiness	
	African Amerian students did not score as well on Index 1 as did the other demographic groups.		
Problem Statement 2:	Which Index(es) does this problem statement address?	□ Not Applicable	
		☐ Index 1: Student Achievement	
		☐ Index 2: Student Progress	
		☑ Index 3: Closing Achievement Gaps	
		☐ Index 4: Postsecondary Readiness	
	Fewer students scored at the Advanced Academic level in reading and in science.		

LEA Name:	Longview ISD		
Campus Name:	Ware Elementary		
	CAMPUS - Data Analys	sis Summary	
Problem Statement 3:	Which Index(es) does this problem statement address?	□ Not Applicable □ Index 1: Student Achievement □ Index 2: Student Progress □ Index 3: Closing Achievement Gaps □ Index 4: Postsecondary Readiness	
	<type here="" problem="" statement="" your=""></type>		
Problem Statement 4:	Which Index(es) does this problem statement address?	□ Not Applicable □ Index 1: Student Achievement □ Index 2: Student Progress □ Index 3: Closing Achievement Gaps □ Index 4: Postsecondary Readiness	
	<type here="" problem="" statement="" your=""></type>		
Problem Statement 5:	Which Index(es) does this problem statement address?	□ Not Applicable □ Index 1: Student Achievement □ Index 2: Student Progress □ Index 3: Closing Achievement Gaps □ Index 4: Postsecondary Readiness	
	<type here="" problem="" statement="" your=""></type>		
Problem Statement 6:	Which Index(es) does this problem statement address?	□ Not Applicable □ Index 1: Student Achievement □ Index 2: Student Progress □ Index 3: Closing Achievement Gaps □ Index 4: Postsecondary Readiness	
	<type here="" problem="" statement="" your=""></type>		
Problem Statement 7:	Which Index(es) does this problem statement address?	□ Not Applicable □ Index 1: Student Achievement □ Index 2: Student Progress □ Index 3: Closing Achievement Gaps □ Index 4: Postsecondary Readiness	
	<type here="" problem="" statement="" your=""></type>		
Problem Statement 8:	Which Index(es) does this problem statement address?	□ Not Applicable □ Index 1: Student Achievement □ Index 2: Student Progress □ Index 3: Closing Achievement Gaps □ Index 4: Postsecondary Readiness	

LEA Name:	Longview ISD		
Campus Name:	Ware Elementary		
CAMPUS - Data Analysi⊃ Summary			
	<type here="" problem="" statement="" your=""></type>		
Problem Statement 9:	Which Index(es) does this problem statement address?	□ Not Applicable □ Index 1: Student Achievement □ Index 2: Student Progress □ Index 3: Closing Achievement Gaps □ Index 4: Postsecondary Readiness	
	<type here="" problem="" statement="" your=""></type>		
Problem Statement 10:	Which Index(es) does this problem statement address?	□ Not Applicable □ Index 1: Student Achievement □ Index 2: Student Progress □ Index 3: Closing Achievement Gaps □ Index 4: Postsecondary Readiness	
	<type here="" problem="" statement="" your=""></type>		
Formerly IR:	List any systems or processes that were initiated as a result of the campus being IR that should be sustained even as a Formerly IR campus.		
		lopment that was job-embedded; Campus-wide instructional strategies that are non- thly Power Writing; Interactive science journals; Required hands-on lab weekly _ participants in all categories; Guided reading	